Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014

	Page 1
IN THE MATTER OF:	
STANDARDS AND LIMITATIONS) FOR CERTAIN SOURCES OF LEAD:)	
PROPOSED 35 ILL. ADM. CODE) PART 226)	

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above entitled cause before Hearing Officer Chad Kruse, called by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, taken by Steven Brickey, CSR, for the State of Illinois, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the 8th day of January, 2014, commencing at the hour of 11:16 a.m.

APPEARANCES

MR. CHAD KRUSE, Hearing Officer

MS. ALISA LIU

MR. ANAND RAO

MR. JERRY O'LEARY

MS. JENNIFER BURKE

MS. CARRIE ZALEWSKI

L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 3
1
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Good morning and welcome
2
    to this Illinois Pollution Control Board hearing.
3
    My name is Chad Kruse and I am the Hearing Officer
4
    for this rulemaking proceeding entitled standards
5
    and limitations for certain sources of lead:
6
    Proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 226 and it is
7
    docketed as R14-19 with the Board. Also present
8
    today from the Board are to my immediate left
9
    Member Carrie Zalewski, she is the lead board
10
    member on this rulemaking. To Member Zalewski's
11
    left is Board Member Jennifer Burke, then to my
12
    right is TU or the technical unit Dr. Anand Rao.
13
                  MR. RAO: Not doctor.
14
                  MR. KRUSE: Anand Rao. And to his
15
    right is Board Member Jerome O'Leary and to Member
16
    O'Leary's right is Technical Unit Member Alisa
17
    Tiiu.
18
                       The Illinois Environmental
19
    Protection Agency filed this rulemaking proposal
    on November 15th, 2013, under the Fast Track
20
    rulemaking provision found at Section 28.5 of the
21
22
    Environmental Protection Act. In an order dated
23
    November 21, 2013, the Board accepted this
24
    proposal for hearing without commenting on the
                                (312) 419-9292
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014 Page 4 1 proposal's merit. As required by Section 28.5(e) 2 of the Act, within 14 days after receiving the 3 Agency's proposal before they filed it or first 4 notice under the Illinois Administrative 5 Procedures Act, the proposal appeared in the Illinois Register on December 6th, 2013, beginning 7 at page 19,490. 8 Today we are holding the first 9 hearing in this rulemaking. The second hearing is 10 scheduled to take place Wednesday, February 5th, 11 2014, in Chicago in the same room and the third 12 hearing, if necessary, is scheduled to take place 13 Wednesday, February 19th, 2014, also in the same 14 Under Section 28.5(q)1 of the Act, this room. 15 hearing shall be confined to testimony by and 16 questions of the Agency's witnesses concerning the 17 scope, applicability and basis of the rule. 18 Hearing Officer order dated November 21st, 2013, I 19 indicated that participants wishing to pre-file 20 testimony for the first hearing must have done so 21 on or before December 29th, 2013. 22 The Board received timely 23 pre-filed testimony from Mr. Rory Davis on behalf

24 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- 1 Today we will begin with testimony by the Agency,
- specifically Mr. Davis, and Section 28.5(f) of the
- 3 Act provides that in order to expedite the hearing
- 4 his testimony is accepted into the record without
- 5 reading provided that he is sworn in and available
- 6 for questions.
- 7 The Agency may make an opening
- 8 statement and after introducing and swearing in
- 9 Mr. Davis we will go right to questions for the
- 10 Agency. It is my understanding that the Agency
- has other personnel on hand that they may wish to
- be sworn in for purpose of responding to questions
- asked at today's hearing. Any additional Agency
- 14 personnel may be introduced and sworn in with
- Mr. Davis for providing testimony in response to a
- question.
- 17 After testimony by and questions
- of the Agency, I will speak a bit more about the
- 19 procedures moving forward in this rulemaking.
- This proceeding is governed by the Board's
- 21 procedural rules. All information that is
- relevant and that is not repetitious or privileged
- will be admitted into the record. Please note
- that any questions posed today by the Board and L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014 Page 6 1 its staff are intended solely to assist in 2 developing a clear and complete record for the 3 Board's decision and do not reflect any 4 prejudgment of the proposal. 5 For the benefit of the Board 6 members present and the court reporter transcribing today's hearing, please speak clearly and avoid speaking at the same time as another 9 person to help produce a clear hearing transcript. 10 Also today you'll notice we do not have a 11 microphone, but due to the size of the room I 12 think we'll be fine, but do just note that when 13 you're talking. 14 Because this is a Fast Track 15 rulemaking, the Board has requested that the 16 transcript from this proceeding be expedited and 17 the court reporter has let me know that it will be

- available as soon as Monday, which is January
- 19 13th, to the Board and then it can be posted to
- 20 COOL immediately thereafter. Are there any
- questions about today's procedures before we get
- 22 started?
- MS. CARTER: No.
- MR. KRUSE: Okay. So, Ms. Carter, L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

Page 7 how would you like your witnesses to be sworn in, 2 together or --3 MS. CARTER: They can be sworn in 4 together. 5 MR. KRUSE: Okay. Mr. Court Reporter, can you please swear in Mr. Davis and 6 7 Mr. Bloomberg? 8 WHEREUPON: 9 DAVID BLOOMBERG and RORY DAVIS 10 called as witnesses herein, having been first duly 11 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: 12 Thank you. If the MR. KRUSE: 13 Agency is prepared to do so, we'll proceed with 14 your opening statement and then the questions for 15 Mr. Davis. 16 MS. CARTER: Okay. Thank you, 17 Mr. Hearing Officer. Again, my name is Sally 18 Carter and I am assistant counsel on behalf of the 19 Illinois EPA. This rulemaking is intended to 20 satisfy Clean Air Act requirements for Reasonably 21 Available Control Measures for lead in two areas 22 of Illinois' designated non-attainment with 23 respect to the 2008 lead National Ambient Air 24 Quality Standards or NAAQS. L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 8
1
                       Illinois EPA is required to
2
    submit for the US EPA's approval revisions to its
3
    State Implementation Plan, or SIP, S-I-P, in
4
    response to these non-attainment designations.
5
    Accordingly, Illinois is proposing new regulations
6
    that establish lead controls which are necessary
    in order to obtain US EPA's approval of Illinois'
    SIP submittal. In particular, this proposal will
9
    require nonferrous metal production facilities
10
    located in areas of Illinois designated
11
    non-attainment from the 2008 lead NAAQS to achieve
12
    the numerical emission standard set by the
13
    proposed rule beginning January 1st, 2015.
14
                       Depending on the type of lead
15
    kettle or furnaces regulated at affected sources,
16
    the proposal sets forth an accompanying lead
17
    emission limit for the exhaust from the associated
    control device. Second, the units that are the
18
19
    most significant sources of fugitive emissions at
20
    affected sources must operate within a total
21
    enclosure under negative pressure.
22
                       Third, any gas stream exiting
23
    the total enclosure must be controlled by
24
    particulate emissions control equipment meeting an
                                  (312) 419-9292
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
```

```
Page 9
    accompanying lead emissions limit. Fourth, there
1
2
    are additional measures for reductions of fugitive
3
    emissions including operating pursuant to an
4
    Illinois EPA approved lead fugitive dust operating
5
    program.
6
                       Finally, this proposal also
7
    includes additional measures for testing,
    monitoring, recordkeeping and reporting
9
    requirements for affected sources. Again, with me
10
    today is Rory Davis. He is an environmental
11
    protection engineer in the Air Quality Planning
12
    Section, Air Pollution Control Division of the
13
    Illinois EPA's Bureau of Air.
14
                       In addition to Mr. Davis,
15
    Mr. David Bloomberg, the Manager of the Air
16
    Quality Planning Section of the Illinois EPA's
17
    Bureau of Air is with me as well to answer any
18
    questions. At this time I'd like to move for
19
    Mr. Davis' pre-filed testimony to be entered into
20
    the record as an exhibit, Mr. Hearing Officer.
21
                  MR. KRUSE: Is there any objections
22
    to entering Mr. Davis' testimony into the record?
23
    Seeing none, I grant the motion and we can mark
24
    the document as Hearing Exhibit 1.
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                  (312) 419-9292
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014

```
Page 10
1
                       (Document marked as Hearing
2
                        Exhibit No. 1 for
3
                        identification.)
4
                              And they will be entered
                  MR. KRUSE:
5
    into the record as if read. Does the Agency have
6
    any clarifying questions for Mr. Davis that have
    come up since the filing of this pre-filed
    testimony?
9
                  MS. CARTER: Not at this time.
10
                              If the audience members
                  MR. KRUSE:
11
    have a question, please signal me by raising your
12
    hand and then I will recognize you and have you
13
    state your name. At that point you can state your
14
    question for Mr. Davis. Yes, sir? Please state
15
    your name.
16
                  MR. HARLEY:
                               Hi.
                                    My name is Keith,
17
    last name Harley, H-A-R-L-E-Y. I'm an attorney at
18
    the Chicago Legal Clinic here representing the
19
    Pilsen Environmental Rights and Reform
20
    Organization.
21
                       Mr. Hearing Officer, I have a
22
    few questions that I would like to pose to the
23
    Agency's witness at your discretion.
24
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Yes, please do so.
                                  (312) 419-9292
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
```

- MR. HARLEY: Mr. Davis, the
- questions that I'm posing relate only to the
- 3 H. Kramer facility. The first question that I
- 4 have is how do the proposed controls and emission
- 5 standards compare to other similar sources that
- 6 are subject to Reasonably Available Control
- 7 Technology or Reasonably Available Control
- 8 Measures?
- 9 MR. DAVIS: I would say they are
- more stringent than RACT, Reasonably Available
- 11 Control Technology. The rule itself was modeled
- on the consent decree that Kramer will be
- operating under and codify some of those controls.
- 14 That called for very strict limits. On a
- percentage basis, I think it was 99.9 percent
- 16 control. We codified that as a numerical limit
- 17 also.
- MR. HARLEY: On what basis do you
- 19 believe that the standards which are proposed are
- 20 more stringent than Reasonably Available Control
- 21 Technology standards?
- MR. DAVIS: I'm not sure exactly
- what RACT would be for lead. Most often lead
- wouldn't have a RACT. It would be -- well, I L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- should say that baghouse control, which is what is
- 2 required in the rule and at the numerical limit
- that are required in the rule, is generally
- 4 considered reasonable control.
- MR. HARLEY: In developing its
- 6 standard, did Illinois EPA review standards that
- 7 are found in the RACT/BACT/LAER Clearinghouse?
- MR. DAVIS: Would that be for
- 9 different facilities?
- MR. HARLEY: For facilities that are
- either similar or in the same source category.
- MR. DAVIS: I'm not sure we did
- 13 compare them to other standards in the
- 14 Clearinghouse. The rule was more based upon what
- was achievable by the stringent limits in the
- 16 consent decree.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: In the process of
- 18 arriving at the consent decree, both Illinois EPA
- and US EPA evaluated a number of different control
- technologies before arriving at the one that was
- finally agreed upon and I know -- I don't know
- that they specifically looked at the RACT/BACT
- 23 Clearinghouse, but I do know that there were a
- great many discussions held and, you know, L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 13
    research both by Illinois EPA and US EPA into what
1
2
    the best and most feasible controls would be at
3
    that facility.
4
                  MR. HARLEY: How do costs enter into
5
    that review process?
6
                  MR. BLOOMBERG: The US EPA review
7
    process?
8
                  MR. HARLEY: The process of
9
    developing the consent decree standards. How did
10
    the issue of cost to H. Kramer enter into that
11
    deliberative process?
12
                  MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                  That, I don't know.
13
    I was somewhat involved at the time due to my
14
    previous job in the compliance section, but I was
    not as involved in those sorts of details. US EPA
15
16
    did most of the cost-related analysis. So I can't
17
    answer that I'm afraid.
18
                  MR. HARLEY: Same answer, Mr. Davis?
19
                  MR. DAVIS: Yeah.
20
                  MR. HARLEY: In light of your
21
    previous answers, is it safe to say that the
22
    Agency also does not know how the proposed
23
    regulations compare to lowest achievable emissions
24
    rate?
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292
```

```
Page 14
1
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              I'm not sure how they
2
    would compare to similar sources in the
3
    Clearinghouse for LAER, no, but, again, I assume
    this was taken into account when the consent
5
    decree was considered.
6
                  MR. HARLEY: Same answer for BACT as
7
    well?
8
                  MR. DAVIS: Yes.
9
                  MR. HARLEY: Best Available Control
10
    Technologies. I apologize.
11
                  MR. DAVIS: Yes.
12
                  MR. HARLEY: In your pre-filed
13
    testimony, you indicate that you anticipate that
14
    there will be a hundred tons per year of
15
    reductions of lead from H. Kramer. What is the
16
    breakdown roughly between stack reductions and
17
    fugitive emission reductions?
18
                  UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you mean
19
    pounds or tons? You said tons.
20
                  MR. HARLEY: Strike that.
21
    apologize. I meant to say pounds.
22
                  MR. DAVIS: Just in general if
23
    you've looked -- well, I'm assuming you're looking
24
    at the Technical Support Document for the hundred
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292
```

- 1 pounds. That is a fairly conservative estimate of
- the reduction. That would mostly be due to stack
- 3 emissions because that was comparing the previous
- 4 year's reported emissions that were stack tested
- 5 versus what will be if the rule is adopted with
- 6 the limits at one hundred percent of the limit 24
- 7 hours a day and so we also know that from the
- 8 stack tests that have happened they're not going
- 9 to be at that limit and also not at 24 hours a
- 10 day.
- So most of what you're seeing in
- that estimate would be stack emissions versus
- 13 stack emissions. One thing that the consent
- decree did not consider was the fugitive emissions
- 15 that -- the proposed rule actually goes beyond the
- 16 consent decree in requiring total enclosures on
- the south foundry.
- I don't think I put an estimate
- of the fugitives that will be reduced in the
- 20 Technical Support Document. There is -- it is a
- somewhat difficult number to quantify. Sometimes
- the fugitives that were before and after I know
- that we're expecting 90 percent capture or
- thereabouts of fugitive emissions from the south L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- foundry that will be obviously routed through the
- baghouses at a -- and included in the 0.0001
- grains per dry standard emission limit for those
- 4 baghouses. So the breakdown would be what you're
- 5 seeing here is mostly the stack emission. The
- fugitive emission we really didn't try to quantify
- 7 exactly, but there should be significant reduction
- 8 from previous fugitives.
- 9 MR. HARLEY: The next question is
- will the final regulatory standards be imposed in
- 11 a permit for H. Kramer?
- MR. DAVIS: Yes.
- MR. HARLEY: Next question. Is
- 14 H. Kramer currently operating using the controls
- mandated by these regulations?
- MR. DAVIS: I believe so.
- MR. HARLEY: Do you know for how
- long?
- MR. DAVIS: I'm not sure that
- they're operational. I know that their new
- controls are operational and I'm -- to my
- 22 knowledge, there was an extension to a permitting
- 23 provision that allowed them to extend what was in
- the consent decree for testing. So they are L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 17
1
    operating with the new controls. I believe they
2
    asked for a six-month extension in order to do
3
    testing on the new controls. So I believe that
    they are operating now with controls that will
5
    meet the standards in the proposed rule.
6
                              Do you know for how
                  MR. HARLEY:
7
    long they've been operating with those controls?
8
                  MR. DAVIS: No, I -- no, I do not.
9
                  MR. HARLEY: During the period that
10
    they have been operating with those controls, do
11
    you know if they have been operating at full
12
    capacity?
13
                  MR. DAVIS: I do not know at what
14
    percentage capacity they're operating at.
15
                  MR. HARLEY: During the period of
16
    time -- I'm sorry. Was there more?
17
                  MR. DAVIS: Right. What we do know
18
    is that we know the baghouses were permitted with
19
    construction permits and that they are
20
    operational.
21
                  MR. HARLEY: But at this time you
22
    don't know if the expected reduction has been
23
    achieved?
24
                              No, I don't.
                  MR. DAVIS:
                                            They would
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                 (312) 419-9292
```

- be -- they would be testing as of the -- the
- controls are operational. They will be testing.
- 3 That really isn't -- that really isn't part of
- 4 this rulemaking. They need to obviously follow
- 5 the consent decree, the effective time for this
- for rulemaking would be January 1st of next year and
- 7 so until then they will be operating under the
- 8 consent decree which does, you know, require them
- 9 to have their operating permits and follow the
- consent decree and, like I said, I do know they
- did ask for an extension. I don't know when that
- extension would expire. I'm not sure what
- percentage of capacity they're operating at. I
- 14 think that was also dictated by the consent decree
- 15 and that they may have been involved in that
- extension. I wasn't involved with that, but, like
- 17 I said, for this rulemaking these limits will be
- applicable January 1st, 2015, and until then they
- will be operating under the consent decree and
- 20 consistent with their operating permits and
- 21 construction permits.
- MR. HARLEY: Mindful of the Fast
- 23 Track nature of this rulemaking, will stack test
- results be available to this Board as part of its L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

Page 19 1 deliberative process? 2 MR. DAVIS: I'm not certain. not something that would be required by the rule. 3 4 They'll have to have stack testing completed 5 before January 1st, 2015, per the rule. 6 that the consent decree requires sooner testing, 7 but, no, there is no -- there is no quarantee that 8 the stack testing will have occurred by the time 9 that the rulemaking is completed. Depending on 10 the rulemaking schedule, I'm not certain when they 11 asked for that extension or when they completed 12 their testing. 13 MS. CARTER: Is the stack testing 14 material something that we relied upon in terms of 15 this rulemaking? 16 MR. DAVIS: No. 17 MS. CARTER: No. Okay. But are the 18 stack tests generally available by the use of FOIA 19 to the Illinois EPA? 20 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 21 MR. HARLEY: In the absence of a 22 RACT/BACT/LAER review and in the absence of stack 23 testing upon this facility, on what basis does the 24 Agency believe the facility will be able to meet

(312) 419-9292

L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.

```
Page 20
    the lead standard which is contained in the rule?
2
                  MR. DAVIS: On the basis of our
3
    dispersion model of the facility in all -- well,
4
    they'll be required to meet the standard as a
5
    condition of their operation. The emission limits
    I believe are achievable with the HEPA level of
7
    control that they're required to meet in the
    consent decree.
9
                  MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                  In addition, we have
10
    been in frequent communication with the company
11
    and they have indicated that they will be
12
    available to meet it and as Rory indicated if it
13
    is passed by the Board that will be the level.
    they are going into this knowing full well what
14
    the emission level -- emission rate allowed will
15
16
         So I think that it certainly would be in
17
    their best interest or would have been in their
18
    best interest to have alerted us much sooner if
19
    there was any doubt in their mind if these
20
    controls would be able to meet this level.
21
                  MS. CARTER: And based on your
22
    experience and understanding of those control
23
    devices, will they be able to routinely meet those
24
    levels that are set forth within the rule?
```

(312) 419-9292

L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.

```
Page 21
1
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              Yes.
2
                  MS. CARTER:
                               Okay.
3
                  MR. HARLEY: Since the consent
4
    decree is so important in your development of your
5
    proposed regulations, will the record in this
6
    proceeding -- Strike that.
                       Does the record in this
8
    proceeding include all of the information that was
9
    relied on in developing the consent decree?
10
                  MR. DAVIS: We did include the
11
    consent decree.
                      I'm not certain that we included
12
    all the information that the consent decree relied
13
           Although I would assume that US EPA did
14
    their due diligence in developing that decree.
15
                  MS. CARTER: Does the final version
16
    of the consent decree memorialize what the
17
    Illinois EPA generally relied upon in this
18
    transaction, in this rulemaking?
19
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              Yes.
20
                  MS. CARTER:
                               Okay.
21
                  MR. DAVIS: And I should say the
22
    proposed rule does go beyond the consent decree in
23
    some ways.
24
                                During the period of
                  MR. HARLEY:
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                  (312) 419-9292
```

- time the facility has been operating using the
- 2 stack controls mandated by the consent decree, has
- 3 emission results been correlated with the ambient
- 4 air monitoring data derived from the Perez
- 5 Elementary School monitoring station?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: Since we're not
- 7 entirely sure exactly when all the controls went
- 8 on per your earlier question we have not done such
- 9 a correlation. There has been a drop in the
- monitor such that those monitors have not shown
- exceedances in at least the past -- in at least
- the past two years, possibly more.
- MR. HARLEY: Isn't it true during
- that period of time the facility was not able to
- operate at full capacity?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: That is my
- understanding due to the consent decree.
- MR. HARLEY: Has there been a period
- of time during which the facility has operated
- using new controls at full capacity where we can
- compare the results of those emissions to the
- 22 ambient air monitoring station at the Perez
- 23 Elementary School?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: I do not know. We L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- 1 can look into it and respond in writing after
- this -- before the next hearing.
- MR. RAO: I had a related
- 4 clarification question. In your testimony,
- 5 Mr. Davis, I think it's on page two, you state
- 6 that "Further analysis, including preliminary air
- quality dispersion modeling, determined that each
- 8 of the affected sources was capable of causing
- 9 violations of the NAAQS." When was this
- 10 preliminary modeling done and have there been any
- 11 further modeling or finalized --
- MR. DAVIS: Yes.
- MR. RAO: -- done?
- MR. DAVIS: I should start with
- there has been additional modeling since. The
- preliminary modeling that I'm speaking of here was
- when we were trying to determine which sources may
- have been culpable in the violation of the NAAQS.
- 19 When we did that, we were modeling source by
- source and, you know, there is a background level
- from a number of sources. Most other sources in
- the St. Louis area and there are a few that didn't
- 23 actually produce that much lead emission and there
- is only one other source in the Chicago area and L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- when we looked at these two sources, Mayco and
- 2 Kramer, H. Kramer, each one of them could produce
- 3 levels along the fence line is what they call the
- 4 receptors, the computer points, around the
- ⁵ facility that are outside the property limits of
- 6 the source.
- 7 Each one of them actually caused
- 8 model violations of the NAAQS without any of the
- background or any other source adding to that.
- 10 And so that was the preliminary modeling of which
- sources we believed to be culpable for the NAAQS
- violations at the monitors after the violations.
- MR. RAO: And you mentioned you did
- 14 additional modeling after that?
- MR. DAVIS: Right. And then the
- additional dispersion modeling is once we have
- 17 come to our -- well, once we've considered a
- 18 number of limits that are in the proposed rule
- 19 will those limits result in achieving the NAAQS at
- all points outside the property boundaries of the
- source. So in every computer receptor there are
- 22 no violations of the NAAQS that are modeled using
- the limits in the rule, the first rule.
- MR. RAO: Okay. This rule, the L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

Page 25 1 proposed rule that you are proposing to the Board 2 applies to both existing and new facilities. 3 MR. DAVIS: Yes. 4 MR. RAO: So if any new facilities 5 are located in these non-attainment areas in 6 addition to these two sources which you are 7 focused on, does that cause any problems in attaining the lead standards? If they comply with 9 all the requirements that you are proposing in 10 this rule, will that be adequate to maintain 11 attainment? 12 MR. DAVIS: There is a possibility 13 for a new source operating at these limits to move 14 into the non-attainment area which are not large 15 areas, but there is the possibility that a new 16 source could move in. However, they would be 17 subject to new source review and very strict 18 limits and prevention of significant deterioration 19 as they're in a non-attainment zone. So those 20 things would be modeled also to say what kind --21 you know, if a new source were to move into one of 22 these areas, they would not be allowed to operate 23 at emission levels that would cause a violation of

24 the NAAQS even if --L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 26
1
                  MR. RAO:
                            Even if more stringent --
2
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              There is a probability
3
    that it would be a more stringent standard because
4
    they would have to operate at levels in addition
5
    to whatever is in the proposed rule that would
6
    still not result in a violation of the NAAQS at
7
    any point outside their boundaries.
8
                                   Thank you.
                  MR. RAO:
                            Okay.
9
                  MR. HARLEY: Aren't there additional
10
    control measures that Illinois EPA considered that
11
    are not included in the proposed regulations?
12
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              I don't believe so.
                                                    Ι
13
    believe that all units at both sources are
14
    controlled with numerical limits and the most
15
    significant sources of fugitive emissions will now
16
    be -- the proposed rule would require them to be
    operated within a total enclosure under negative
17
18
    pressure. Going beyond that I don't think we had
19
    more ideas of additional controls.
20
                  MR. HARLEY: To ask the question in
21
    another context. If a new source were to seek a
22
    construction permit in close proximity to
23
    H. Kramer and if it were subject to BACT or LAER,
24
    what additional measures could it employ in order
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                  (312) 419-9292
```

- 1 to meet an additional level of control?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: I don't think we
- 3 know the answer to that, but I also think that
- 4 that is probably speculation beyond the confines
- of this rule. The likelihood of a nonferrous
- 6 metal production facility located in the extremely
- 5 small non-attainment area surrounding H. Kramer,
- in my opinion, is extremely small. And so I think
- 9 it's just -- I think we would just be entering the
- 10 realm of speculation at that point.
- MR. RAO: Do you believe the same
- reasoning applies to the Metro East facility?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: That facility, that
- 14 non-attainment area, is larger than the one here
- in Chicago, but it is still fairly unlikely that
- it could happen, but, again, even in such a case
- 17 it would be speculative and it would -- if it were
- 18 modeled as Rory had explained that there was a
- 19 problem, it is really up to the company to solve
- that problem to make sure that their construction
- does not cause a new NAAQS violation.
- MR. RAO: Mr. Davis, earlier in
- response to Mr. Harley's question you mentioned
- something to do with control efficiency or capture L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- of 90 percent or more. I was looking at the
- emission reduction portion of your testimony and
- you mentioned that Illinois EPA calculates that
- 4 the proposed regulation would reduce lead
- 5 emissions by greater than 50 percent at each
- 6 source. Can you explain how these numbers
- 7 correlate?
- MR. DAVIS: Well, that would be -- I
- 9 should say again that's a conservative estimate.
- 10 That would be the facilities operating at one
- 11 hundred percent of the limits, 24 hours a day, 365
- days a year and, again, that was really more stack
- emissions versus stack emissions. The fugitive
- emissions from a few of the units are significant.
- 15 It really depends on how often those units are
- 16 running and a number of other different factors.
- What their actual fugitive emissions would be, it
- would be very difficult to quantify exactly what
- 19 the fugitive emissions were from those units at
- 20 Kramer and at Mayco. However, operating what we
- 21 know to be the most significant sources of
- fugitive emissions inside a total enclosure with
- negative pressure we know that the emission
- reductions will be significant in percentage. I'm L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- 1 not sure what the actual volume or the weight of
- those emissions will be in pounds.
- MR. RAO: So what you're saying is
- 4 it will be much greater than 50 percent rather
- 5 than being close to 50 percent?
- 6 MR. DAVIS: It will be greater than
- 7 50 percent reduction in fugitive emissions from
- 8 the sources that are controlled by the total
- 9 enclosure, yes.
- MR. RAO: Thank you.
- MR. HARLEY: Just a quick follow up
- 12 to Mr. Rao's question and then I'll be done with
- my questions. H. Kramer is required to report its
- 14 fugitive lead emissions pursuant to the toxic
- release inventory protocol, is that correct?
- MR. DAVIS: I believe so. Well, I
- believe that's correct.
- MR. HARLEY: Was that used as part
- of your deliberations on what the reductions would
- 20 be in fugitive emissions from the source?
- MR. DAVIS: No. As I said, I don't
- think I did quantify the fugitive emission
- reductions in the Technical Support Document
- because it is -- while they do report what they -L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- they're most likely from AP 42 emission factor.
- While they do report probably their estimate of
- 3 that, it is probably facility-wide also what they
- 4 think their fugitive emissions are. The fugitive
- 5 emissions can also come from dust in a parking lot
- or, you know, a number of different sources. What
- 7 I do know is that the fugitive emissions from --
- 8 and at Kramer this would be their south foundry
- 9 furnaces will be reduced significantly and
- 10 probably somewhere on the order of 90 percent I
- believe was the estimate for total enclosure, but
- 12 I don't think we attempted to quantify exactly
- what those were.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: The problem is that
- by the very nature fugitive emissions are
- extremely difficult to calculate. Companies
- 17 provide an estimate through the TRI, but when it
- 18 comes to fugitives those estimates are not the
- 19 hardest of scientific numbers, I guess. Just in
- general throughout the entire TRI and so it is --
- 21 it is just a very difficult number to account for,
- but by doing a total enclosure we are certain that
- we are capturing those fugitives whereas before
- they would not have necessarily been captured by L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

Page 31 1 any particular control device. 2 MS. CARTER: Can I -- just a moment, sir. 3 I'm sorry. Thank you. We're fine now. 4 MR. HARLEY: Okay. I'm done. 5 MR. KRUSE: Are there any other people with a question? MS. BURKE: It's on the same point. 8 MR. KRUSE: Go for it. 9 MS. BURKE: On the fugitive 10 emissions again, the rules require a total 11 enclosure on some of the fugitive emission sources 12 and are there -- can you explain whether there are 13 additional requirements on fugitive emissions 14 outside of the total enclosure? 15 MR. DAVIS: Yes, there are some 16 requirements for additional cleanings and general 17 work practices at the facilities, at the sources 18 that should reduce fugitive emissions. Again, 19 that would be hard to quantify exactly how much 20 fugitive reduction you would get there, but, yeah, 21 there is cleanings. Also --22 MR. BLOOMBERG: When you say 23 outside, do you mean fugitive emissions from 24 activities that take place outside of the total (312) 419-9292L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014 Page 32 enclosure or do you mean outside more like in 1 addition to the total enclosure? 2 3 MS. BURKE: Outside of the total 4 enclosure. 5 MR. BLOOMBERG: Okay. 6 MR. DAVIS: Yes, there are. And I 7 think you can find those in the Section 170, the lead fugitive dust operating program. There is a 9 number of areas that have work practices that 10 should reduce fugitive emissions. It doesn't 11 state it explicitly in there, but it is exactly 12 for that purpose, but, yeah, sorting and handling 13 has to occur in areas that are ventilated and 14 connected to a control device. Source roadways 15 have to be paved. They also have to be cleaned. 16 There is a number of additional work practices 17 that should reduce the fugitive emissions that 18 aren't actually taking place in the total 19 enclosure. 20 The total enclosure generally 21 for Mayco will include their alloying and refinery 22 kettles and at Kramer will be their large furnaces 23 in the south foundry. Aside from those, there is

no emission units there that are large sources of L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- fugitives and the other sources of fugitives are
- just fugitive dust that is -- can be cleaned up
- from operational areas, roadway dust, things like
- 4 that that aren't in the enclosure itself.
- MS. LIU: To follow up on that
- 6 question Mr. Rao had about new sources possibly
- 7 located within the non-attainment areas and you
- 8 responded with there would be a new source review
- 9 and a TSD review, what if the facility were to
- 10 expand? What kind of review would it have in that
- 11 case?
- MR. DAVIS: One of the affected
- 13 facilities -- affected sources?
- MS. LIU: Correct. Yes.
- MR. DAVIS: There would be -- would
- most definitely be a TSD review of that, right?
- Right. It would have to trigger TSD. The change
- 18 at the source would have to trigger TSD, but an
- expansion or additional units generally would do
- that. There would be additional permitting
- obligations. Something that would significantly
- increase the emissions at an existing source in a
- 23 non-attainment area. There are some things that a
- source could do that would not trigger TSD. L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014 Page 34 1 Anything that would increase emissions most likely 2 would. 3 So, for instance, they couldn't 4 add additional kettles and additional baghouses 5 and use the numerical limits in the rules and 6 that -- that would not just be acceptable. They 7 would be subject to the emission limits, but they 8 couldn't add something that would be a new 9 emission point I don't believe without triggering 10 TSD, a new stack, a new baghouse for new units. 11 I'm fairly confident that 12 additional units that would have additional 13 emissions would trigger TSD review, which would 14 require modeling to make sure they didn't violate 15 the NAAQS. 16 MS. LIU: Earlier you tried to give 17 us an impression of kind of the size of the 18 non-attainment area in Chicago as well as in 19 Granite City and in your Technical Support 20 Document you provided two figures, one for each, 21 and I don't think it came through as clearly as 22 maybe you had hoped on the copy that we received. 23 You indicated there were

highlights showing the boundaries at the L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 35
1
    non-attainment area as well as the location of the
2
    facility and the air monitor, but it came through
3
    in black and white and it is very fuzzy. So I was
4
    wondering if you can provide better copies of one
5
    and two from the Technical Support Document at
6
    some point in time?
7
                  MR. DAVIS:
                             Yes.
                                    Definitely.
8
                  MS. LIU:
                            Thank you.
                                        Since this was
9
    a rulemaking that you had worked out with the
10
    facilities who were going to be affected very
11
    closely, I noticed there is no real cost figures
12
    in here. I don't know if that is something that
13
    the companies are willing to provide just for
14
    future reference perhaps if other facilities are
15
    going to be affected what they could expect was
16
    considered reasonable in one case as a benchmark
17
    for another facility. I don't know how you feel
18
    about providing information along those lines, but
19
    I thought I would mention it.
20
                  MR. BLOOMBERG:
                                  Okay. I think in
21
    this sort of -- because the rule deals with two
22
    specific sources, the costs I think will also be
23
    very source specific. Mayco, for example, is both
```

24 installing a new device and upgrading another L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- device and moving operations from one building to
- another. You know, Kramer's operation is also
- yery different and so that was part of it is their
- 4 costs aren't necessarily going to be
- 5 representative of anything other than their costs.
- 6 The other part is they need basically -- we needed
- 7 them to do what they needed to do to meet the
- 8 NAAQS.
- 9 MR. DAVIS: And that's what I was
- going to say was there is no cost per ton or cost
- 11 per pound that is a fair figure. When you have a
- lead non-attainment area, we need that to not be a
- non-attainment area and when there is only one
- source, cost wasn't really a factor in our
- 15 consideration of what kind of control or what kind
- of emission limits we needed to get to attaining
- the NAAQS for lead and I'm not certain -- to
- answer your question, I'm not certain we can get
- 19 those specific figures from them or if they have a
- good idea.
- MS. CARTER: Were those figures that
- we requested during the generation of this rule?
- MR. DAVIS: We did not request the
- cost figures from them.
 L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 37
1
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Are there additional
2
    questions from the audience? Okay. With that,
3
    are there questions from Board members or the TU?
4
                       I have two questions.
                                              Both --
5
    well, one is kind of a substantive question and it
6
    deals or pertains to the control device monitoring
7
    plans that are required in a few subsections of
    the proposed language. There are certain sections
9
    that require those plans for the review and
10
    approval by the Agency and I wondered if the
11
    Agency could talk about whether its intent is to
12
    have those be final Agency action so that they are
13
    appealable under the APA.
14
                  MS. CARTER: While I'm not sworn in,
15
    Mr. Hearing Officer, and I'd just be providing a
16
    legal opinion on that, but in my view those would
17
    be final Agency decisions that would be appealable
18
    to the APA. That is just by perspective at this
19
    point, but we didn't go into an in-depth
20
    discussion here.
21
                  MR. KRUSE: Regarding language in
22
    the proposed rule and more specifically
23
    incorporation by reference where you have
24
    instances of incorporation by reference through
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                (312) 419-9292
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014 Page 38 1 the rule there is some -- some places where you 2 use language cross referencing the section where 3 the documents are listed and others you do not and 4 I wondered if the Agency would object to the Board 5 inserting language such as -- consistent language 6 throughout regarding that section where the cross 7 reference documents are listed just to be clear 8 that the methods are provided in and incorporated 9 by reference document. For instance, Section 125 10 I believe does not include a proper cross 11 reference to the section where the documents are 12 listed. 13 MS. CARTER: Okay. I understand 14 what you're saying, Mr. Hearing Officer. 15 Agency has no objection for clarity purposes. 16 MR. KRUSE: Okay. The Subsection

- 17 175(g) I believe is also an instance where that
- occurs, but that question is a general one. If we
- 19 found other instances --
- MS. CARTER: No objection.
- MR. KRUSE: Okay. Just one last
- 22 call for questions from anyone present today for
- the Agency? Seeing none, I want to talk a bit
- about procedural issues moving forward. Section L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- 1 27(b) of the Act provides that the Board must
- 2 request that the Department of Commerce and
- 3 Economic Opportunity, or DCEO, conduct an Economic
- 4 Impact Study of the proposed rules before the
- 5 Board adopts the rules. Pursuant to Section
- 6 28.5(g) of the Act, the Board may order the
- 7 Economic Impact Study in a manner that will allow
- 8 for timely adoption of the Second Notice Opinion
- 9 and Order under Section 28.5(n) of the Act. In a
- letter dated November 21st, 2013, the Board's
- 11 chairman, Dr. Deanna Glosser, requested that DCEO
- 12 conduct an Economic Impact Study of this proposal
- 13 and respond to the request no later than December
- 14 17th, 2013.
- The Board has received no
- 16 response to that request. Is there anyone present
- here today who would like to testify with regard
- 18 to the Board's request for an Economic Impact
- 19 Study or DCEO's lack of response to the Board's
- 20 request? Hearing none --
- MS. CARTER: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- can we just have one minute, please?
- MR. KRUSE: Absolutely.
- MS. CARTER: Mr. Hearing Officer, L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- thank you. I don't want to interrupt what you
- were doing, but at a certain point we've reviewed
- 3 the TSD a little bit in light of the questions
- 4 Mr. Harley asked and we think we need to clarify a
- 5 couple of our responses, but I'll comport to you
- 6 on the timing of that.
- 7 MR. KRUSE: I think now is a fine
- 8 time for that.
- 9 MR. DAVIS: Sure. This is just so I
- wouldn't have to clarify this later in writing
- 11 back to the Board. Your question was whether the
- 12 fugitives had to be reported from -- and I assume
- you were only referring to Kramer. They do have
- 14 to be reported from Mayco and Kramer. Now that
- 15 I'm looking at the TSD, again, I'm not certain why
- this slipped my mind the numbers in Table 1 and
- 17 Table 2 on page 16 and 17 of the Technical Support
- 18 Document there is point sources and there is
- 19 volume sources. Those volume sources are the
- estimates we used for modeling fugitive emissions
- 21 from these buildings.
- It is not -- it is a little bit
- 23 different than just fugitive emissions. In the
- model, they are a box the size and shape of the L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- building and are an emission point and that is
- what we modeled the fugitive emissions as. With
- 3 the proposed rule, these are the emissions that we
- 4 would expect and, like I said, these are the
- 5 emissions we would expect at one hundred percent
- of the limits, 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.
- 7 What they are compared to in the
- 8 Technical Support Document is the reported total
- 9 emissions being the stack and the fugitive
- 10 combined that they have to report to the Agency
- and Mr. Harley jogged my memory on that when he
- 12 asked "Aren't they required to report that to
- 13 TRI?" Yes, they are and, yes, we did include them
- in the estimates.
- They are not a large percentage
- of the total emissions at each facility in this --
- in these tables, but I thought I would clarify
- 18 that we did -- it was a comparison of total
- 19 emissions and total emissions and fugitives were
- included. I apologize for the misunderstanding
- earlier.
- MR. KRUSE: Great. Did that trigger
- 23 any questions from --
- MR. RAO: So referring to Table 1 on L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 42
1
    page 16, so what you've listed here as the volume
2
    sources, are those the fugitive emissions? Are
3
    these what you modeled in your --
4
                  MR. DAVIS: Yes, and they're not all
5
    of them.
6
                  MR. RAO: Okay.
7
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              These are building
    fugitives from -- if all kettles were -- you know,
9
    in Mayco, if all the kettles were running all the
10
    time, they would have a certain amount of
11
    fugitives that would get into the building.
12
    have AP 42 emission factors I believe we used to
13
    estimate those and then, like I said, it's not --
14
    it's not a doorway that they're escaping or a
15
    window they're escaping. It's a box of the shape
16
    and size of the building that we characterize as a
17
    volume source and it's just emissions come from
18
    that source.
19
                  MR. RAO: And these emissions are --
20
    the estimates that we have, pounds per year for
21
    Mayco in Table 1, are those uncontrolled fugitive
22
    emission estimates?
23
                              That is the controlled.
                  MR. DAVIS:
24
                  MR. RAO:
                            That is the controlled?
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                 (312) 419-9292
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014

```
Page 43
1
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              Right.
2
                  MR. RAO: So --
3
                  MR. DAVIS: And a number of these
4
    are uncontrolled as far as they are not things --
5
    not buildings that we have a total enclosure
6
    around and so --
                  MR. RAO:
                            When you say controlled,
8
    they are not controlling them in compliance with
9
    the proposed rules?
10
                              No, they are.
                  MR. DAVIS:
11
                            They are?
                  MR. RAO:
12
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              The units are
13
    controlled.
                  The fugitives -- this is with control
14
    what we estimate the fugitives to be.
15
                  MR. RAO: Okay. So --
16
                              This is lead emissions
                  MR. DAVIS:
    from the proposed regulation. What they were
17
18
    compared to was their reported lead emissions that
19
    they are required to report annually.
20
                  MR. RAO:
                            Okay. So would you be
21
    able to make some estimates about the percent
22
    reductions by knowing --
23
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              That is what I -- you
24
    mean on fugitives?
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292
```

```
Page 44
1
                            Yes, on fugitives.
                  MR. RAO:
2
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              I would have to go back
3
    and look at the breakdown in their reported
4
    numbers of what they reported was stack emissions
5
    and fugitive emissions.
6
                  MR. RAO: Would it be too much to
7
    ask?
8
                  MR. DAVIS:
                              No.
9
                            Is it something you can do
                  MR. RAO:
10
    between now and the next hearing? Would that be
11
    possible?
12
                  MR. DAVIS: Sure. And if it is
13
    indeterminable, then I can say that also.
14
                  MR. RAO: Yeah. That would be
15
    helpful to give us a better picture as to what
16
    reductions we are talking about than just to say
17
    greater than 50 percent.
18
                  MR. BLOOMBERG: Just to point out
19
    when we are talking about the volume sources in
20
    pounds per year at Mayco the largest unit is
21
    0.3879 --
22
                            That's what --
                  MR. RAO:
23
                  MR. BLOOMBERG: -- per unit.
24
    want to clarify that we're talking about extremely
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                  (312) 419-9292
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014 Page 45 1 small numbers compared to the overall size of the 2 facility. 3 MR. RAO: Because in response to my 4 earlier question when I asked about the percent 5 reduction, Mr. Davis was mentioning that it was a 6 conservative estimate because fugitive emissions 7 play a larger role and it may be a much higher number of reductions and when I saw these numbers 9 here on Table 1 which when you look at the point 10 sources and the volume sources that the volume 11 sources are so low I was wondering where all the 12 reductions are going to come from control point 13 sources or will fugitive emissions play a bigger 14 role? 15 Right. That's what I MR. DAVIS: 16 was saying. These are estimates of the fugitives The number previously for 17 from these buildings. 18 most of these will not be much higher. 19 won't not be a 50 percent reduction or a 90 20 percent reduction from them. The units that are 21 controlled by the total enclosure there will be --

- are the units that actually did have significant
- fugitive emissions and those will have a
- significant reduction. For instance, volume one L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- shop tower we basically -- we didn't have -- there
- is no control set in the rule specifically for the
- 3 shop tower building. So there is not going to be
- 4 a significant reduction past the cleaning
- operations that are required in the shop tower and
- 6 those are very hard to quantify.
- And, like I said, the estimate
- 8 here is just that. It is an estimate. So that
- 9 is -- it is also difficult to say that that is a
- very precise number and, like I said, in the
- proposed rule there is no controls for that
- building other than the additional cleaning and
- work practices. So you wouldn't expect a large
- 14 reduction there.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: I think one -- one
- 16 clarification. The items that used to be
- 17 considered fugitives and still it is kind of a
- difference in the way the term is used that used
- 19 to be fugitives are now being modeled the larger
- sources as point because they are in total
- enclosure, they are being drawn into the
- controlled device and we know exactly where those
- emissions are now coming from and what they will
- be limited to. So that is where you're seeing L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

- 1 your biggest reduction. What we're calling volume
- sources here are things that have not really
- 3 changed a whole lot. The items that were fugitive
- 4 that are being controlled and that you're seeing
- 5 your biggest control percentage are under the
- 6 point sources now. So I think that's where --
- 7 MR. RAO: That's what I was thinking
- 8 because I thought most of your reductions are
- 9 coming from what you have listed as point sources
- 10 here.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: And that is just
- when you're dealing with the modeling. A point
- source is anything that has a specific emission
- 14 point. So even if the fugitive emission was some
- dust on the floor at one point, well, it
- 16 eventually gets either cleaned up or sucked into
- the control device. So it was a fugitive
- emission, but it is being modeled as a point by
- 19 the time it gets to that point.
- MR. RAO: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. LIU: So the volume sources
- listed are not included in the total enclosure or
- are some of them?
- MR. DAVIS: I'm sorry. Would you L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

```
Page 48
1
    repeat your question?
                            I just want to be precise.
2
                  MS. LIU:
                            So the volume sources that
3
    are listed are not included in the total
4
    enclosure?
5
                  MR. DAVIS: The casting and dross,
6
    the bottom five, that contains a total enclosure
7
    or will contain a total enclosure. However, you
8
    still do model that building as a volume source
9
    that will have some emissions, but, no, most of
10
    these volume sources are not operated under a
11
                       In Table 2, the -- I guess the
    total enclosure.
12
    main building would be what would be under total
13
    enclosure, but, again, like David was saying those
14
    emissions are now in the baghouse, but, again,
15
    most of those volume sources are not under total
16
    enclosure.
17
                  MR. RAO:
                            Thanks.
18
                  MR. KRUSE:
                              Any other questions?
19
            So, if we could, I'd like to go off the
20
    record for just a bit to talk about the special
21
    circumstances of the Fast Track rulemaking.
22
                       (Whereupon, a break was taken
23
                        after which the following
24
                        proceedings were had.)
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                  (312) 419-9292
```

```
Page 49
1
                              Again, anyone may file
                  MR. KRUSE:
2
    written public comments in the rulemaking with the
3
                          Filings may be made through
    clerk of the Board.
4
    the Board's clerk's office online and any
5
    questions about your electronic filings should be
6
    directed to the clerk's office at (312) 814-3620.
7
    Filings with the Board, whether paper or
    electronic, must also be served on the Hearing
9
    Officer and on those persons on the service list.
10
                       Before filing, please check the
11
    Board's website or with the clerk's office to
12
    ensure that you have the most recent version of
13
    the service list. Expedited copies of the
    transcript of today's hearing should be available
14
15
    at the Board's office by January 13th. So that is
16
    next Monday. Shortly after that, the transcript
17
    should be available on the Board's website.
                                                   Under
18
    Section 28.5(f)1 of the Act, within seven days
19
    after the first hearing, any person may request
20
    that the second hearing be held.
21
                       The Board's procedural rules
22
    provide that this request may be made on the
23
    record at the hearing or in writing by filing it
24
    with the Board and serving it upon all members of
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC.
                                 (312) 419-9292
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014

```
Page 50
1
    the service list. The Board's procedural rules
2
    may be found at Part 102 of Title 35 of the
    Illinois Administrative Code.
3
4
                       Is there anyone present today
5
    who would like to request a second hearing in this
    matter? Again, a request for a second hearing may
    be filed with the Board within seven days after
    today's hearing. If anyone has any questions
9
    about the procedural aspects of this rulemaking,
10
    please contact me by telephone at (312) 814-3665.
11
    Are there any other matters that need to be
12
    addressed at this time? Seeing none, I would like
13
    to thank everyone for participating today and the
14
    hearing is now adjourned.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292
```

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office: 01/10/2014

```
Page 51
    STATE OF ILLINOIS )
 2
                            SS.
 3
    COUNTY OF COOK
 5
          I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
    Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
6
    shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
    aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
9
    complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
10
    of said trial as appears from my stenographic
11
    notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
12
    direction.
13
          Witness my official signature in and for
    Cook County, Illinois, on this day of
14
15
     ____, A.D., 2014.
16
17
18
19
20
                          STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR
21
                          8 West Monroe Street
                          Suite 2007
22
                          Chicago, Illinois 60603
                          Phone: (312) 419-9292
23
                          CSR No. 084-004675
24
    L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292
```

Page	52
- agc	52

A	admitted 5:23	4:17	baghouse 12:1	6:15,19 18:24
$\frac{A.D}{A.D}$ 51:15	adopted 15:5	applicable 18:18	34:10 48:14	20:13 25:1
a.m 1:8	adoption 39:8	applies 25:2	baghouses 16:2	37:3 38:4 39:1
able 19:24 20:20	adopts 39:5	27:12	16:4 17:18	39:5,6,15
20:23 22:14	aforesaid 51:8	approval 8:2,7	34:4	40:11 49:3,7
43:21	afraid 13:17	37:10	based 12:14	49:24 50:7
absence 19:21	Agency 3:19	approved 9:4	20:21	Board's 5:20 6:3
19:22	4:24 5:1,7,10	area 23:22,24	basically 36:6	39:10,18,19
	5:10,13,18	25:14 27:7,14	46:1	49:4,11,15,17
Absolutely 39:23	7:13 10:5	33:23 34:18	basis 4:17 11:15	49:21 50:1
acceptable 34:6	13:22 19:24	35:1 36:12,13	11:18 19:23	bottom 48:6
accepted 3:23	37:10,11,12,17	areas 7:21 8:10	20:2	boundaries
5:4	38:4,15,23	25:5,15,22	beginning 4:6	24:20 26:7
	41:10	32:9,13 33:3,7	8:13	34:24
accompanying 8:16 9:1	Agency's 4:3,16	arriving 12:18	behalf 4:23 7:18	box 40:24 42:15
account 14:4	10:23	12:20	believe 11:19	break 48:22
30:21	agreed 12:21	Aside 32:23	16:16 17:1,3	breakdown
achievable	air 1:2 7:20,23	asked 5:13 17:2	19:24 20:6	14:16 16:4
12:15 13:23	9:11,12,13,15	19:11 40:4	26:12,13 27:11	44:3
20:6	9:17 22:4,22	41:12 45:4	29:16,17 30:11	Brickey 1:7 51:5
achieve 8:11	23:6 35:2	aspects 50:9	34:9 38:10,17	51:20
achieve 8.11 achieved 17:23	alerted 20:18	assist 6:1	42:12	building 36:1
achieving 24:19	Alisa 2:2 3:16	assistant 7:18	believed 24:11	41:1 42:7,11
Act 3:22 4:2,5	allow 39:7	associated 8:17	benchmark	42:16 46:3,12
4:14 5:3 7:20	allowed 16:23	assume 14:3	35:16	48:8,12
39:1,6,9 49:18	20:15 25:22	21:13 40:12	benefit 6:5	buildings 40:21
action 37:12	alloying 32:21	assuming 14:23	best 13:2 14:9	43:5 45:17
activities 31:24	ambient 7:23	attaining 25:8	20:17,18	Bureau 9:13,17
actual 28:17	22:3,22	36:16	better 35:4	Burke 2:4 3:11
29:1	amount 42:10	attainment	44:15	31:7,9 32:3
add 34:4,8	analysis 13:16	25:11	beyond 15:15	
adding 24:9	23:6	attempted 30:12	21:22 26:18	C
addition 9:14	Anand 2:3 3:12	attorney 10:17	27:4	C 2:1
20:9 25:6 26:4	3:14	audience 10:10	bigger 45:13	calculate 30:16
32:2	annually 43:19	37:2	biggest 47:1,5	calculates 28:3
additional 5:13	answer 9:17	available 5:5	bit 5:18 38:23	call 24:3 38:22
9:2,7 23:15	13:17,18 14:6	6:18 7:21 11:6	40:3,22 48:20	called 1:6 7:10
24:14,16 26:9	27:3 36:18	11:7,10,20	black 35:3	11:14
26:19,24 27:1	answers 13:21	14:9 18:24	Bloomberg 7:7	calling 47:1
31:13,16 32:16	anticipate 14:13	19:18 20:12	7:9 9:15 12:17	capable 23:8
33:19,20 34:4	AP 30:1 42:12	49:14,17	13:6,12 20:9	capacity 17:12
34:4,12,12	APA 37:13,18	avoid 6:8	22:6,16,24	17:14 18:13
37:1 46:12	apologize 14:10		27:2,13 30:14	22:15,20
addressed 50:12	14:21 41:20	B	31:22 32:5	capture 15:23
adequate 25:10	appealable	back 40:11 44:2	35:20 44:18,23	27:24
adjourned 50:14	37:13,17	background	46:15 47:11	captured 30:24
Adm 1:3 3:6	appeared 4:5	23:20 24:9	board 1:7 3:2,7	capturing 30:23
Administrative	appears 51:10	BACT 14:6	3:8,9,11,15,23	Carrie 2:4 3:9
4:4 50:3	applicability	26:23	4:22 5:24 6:5	Carter 6:23,24
5 5.5	,			
		•	•	•

Page 53

	I	I	I	1
7:3,16,18 10:9	Clearinghouse	concerning 4:16	46:22 47:4	44:24 45:24
19:13,17 20:21	12:7,14,23	condition 20:5	controlling 43:8	46:24 47:24
21:2,15,20	14:3	conduct 39:3,12	controls 8:6	48:24 49:24
31:2 36:21	clearly 6:7 34:21	confident 34:11	11:4,13 13:2	50:24 51:24
37:14 38:13,20	clerk 49:3	confined 4:15	16:14,21 17:1	cross 38:2,6,10
39:21,24	clerk's 49:4,6,11	confines 27:4	17:3,4,7,10	CSR 1:7 51:20
case 27:16 33:11	Clinic 10:18	connected 32:14	18:2 20:20	51:23
35:16	close 26:22 29:5	consent 11:12	22:2,7,20	culpable 23:18
casting 48:5	closely 35:11	12:16,18 13:9	26:19 46:11	24:11
category 12:11	Code 1:3 3:6	14:4 15:13,16	Cook 51:3,14	currently 16:14
cause 1:6 25:7	50:3	16:24 18:5,8	COOL 6:20	
25:23 27:21	codified 11:16	18:10,14,19	copies 35:4	D
caused 24:7	codify 11:13	19:6 20:8 21:3	49:13	data 22:4
causing 23:8	combined 41:10	21:9,11,12,16	copy 34:22	dated 3:22 4:18
certain 1:2 3:5	come 10:7 24:17	21:22 22:2,17	correct 29:15,17	39:10
19:2,10 21:11	30:5 42:17	conservative	33:14 51:9	David 7:9 9:15
30:22 36:17,18	45:12	15:1 28:9 45:6	correlate 28:7	48:13
37:8 40:2,15	comes 30:18	consider 15:14	correlated 22:3	Davis 4:23 5:2,9
42:10	coming 46:23	consideration	correlation 22:9	5:15 7:6,9,15
certainly 20:16	47:9	36:15	cost 13:10 35:11	9:10,14,19,22
Certified 51:5	commencing 1:8	considered 12:4	36:10,10,14,24	10:6,14 11:1,9
certify 51:6	commenting	14:5 24:17	cost-related	11:22 12:8,12
Chad 1:6 2:2 3:3	3:24	26:10 35:16	13:16	13:18,19 14:1
chairman 39:11	comments 49:2	46:17	costs 13:4 35:22	14:8,11,22
change 33:17	Commerce 39:2	consistent 18:20	36:4,5	16:12,16,19
changed 47:3	communication	38:5	counsel 7:18	17:8,13,17,24
changed 47:3 characterize	communication 20:10	38:5 construction	counsel 7:18 County 51:3,14	19:2,16,20
				19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10
characterize	20:10	construction	County 51:3,14	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5
characterize 42:16	20:10 companies	construction 17:19 18:21	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15
characterize 42:16 check 49:10	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23
characterize	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24
characterize	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15 33:2 47:16	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance 13:14 43:8	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2 47:5,17	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24 34:24 35:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6 51:14
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15 33:2 47:16 cleaning 46:4,12	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance 13:14 43:8 comply 25:8	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2 47:5,17 controlled 8:23	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24 34:24 35:24 36:24 37:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6 51:14 days 4:2 28:12
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15 33:2 47:16 cleaning 46:4,12 cleanings 31:16	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance 13:14 43:8 comply 25:8 comport 40:5	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2 47:5,17 controlled 8:23 26:14 29:8	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24 34:24 35:24 36:24 37:24 38:24 39:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6 51:14 days 4:2 28:12 41:6 49:18
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15 33:2 47:16 cleaning 46:4,12 cleanings 31:16 31:21	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance 13:14 43:8 comply 25:8 computer 24:4	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2 47:5,17 controlled 8:23 26:14 29:8 42:23,24 43:7	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24 34:24 35:24 36:24 37:24 38:24 39:24 40:24 41:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6 51:14 days 4:2 28:12 41:6 49:18 50:7
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15 33:2 47:16 cleaning 46:4,12 cleanings 31:16	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance 13:14 43:8 comply 25:8 comport 40:5	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2 47:5,17 controlled 8:23 26:14 29:8	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24 34:24 35:24 36:24 37:24 38:24 39:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6 51:14 days 4:2 28:12 41:6 49:18
characterize 42:16 check 49:10 Chicago 1:7 4:11 10:18 23:24 27:15 34:18 51:22 circumstances 48:21 City 34:19 clarification 23:4 46:16 clarify 40:4,10 41:17 44:24 clarifying 10:6 clarity 38:15 Clean 7:20 cleaned 32:15 33:2 47:16 cleaning 46:4,12 cleanings 31:16 31:21	20:10 companies 30:16 35:13 company 20:10 27:19 compare 11:5 12:13 13:23 14:2 22:21 compared 41:7 43:18 45:1 comparing 15:3 comparison 41:18 complete 6:2 51:9 completed 19:4 19:9,11 compliance 13:14 43:8 comply 25:8 computer 24:4	construction 17:19 18:21 26:22 27:20 contact 50:10 contain 48:7 contained 20:1 contains 48:6 context 26:21 control 1:6 3:2 7:21 8:18,24 9:12 11:6,7,11 11:16,20 12:1 12:4,19 14:9 20:7,22 26:10 27:1,24 31:1 32:14 36:15 37:6 43:13 45:12 46:2 47:5,17 controlled 8:23 26:14 29:8 42:23,24 43:7	County 51:3,14 couple 40:5 court 1:24 2:24 3:24 4:24 5:24 6:6,17,24 7:5 7:24 8:24 9:24 10:24 11:24 12:24 13:24 14:24 15:24 16:24 17:24 18:24 19:24 20:24 21:24 22:24 23:24 24:24 25:24 26:24 27:24 28:24 29:24 30:24 31:24 32:24 33:24 34:24 35:24 36:24 37:24 38:24 39:24 40:24 41:24	19:2,16,20 20:2 21:1,10 21:19,21 23:5 23:12,14 24:15 25:3,12 26:2 26:12 27:22 28:8 29:6,16 29:21 31:15 32:6 33:12,15 35:7 36:9,23 40:9 42:4,7,23 43:1,3,10,12 43:16,23 44:2 44:8,12 45:5 45:15 47:24 48:5 day 1:8 15:7,10 28:11 41:6 51:14 days 4:2 28:12 41:6 49:18 50:7

DCEO's 39:19	difference 46:18	East 27:12	48:4,6,7,11,13	existing 25:2
dealing 47:12	different 12:9	Economic 39:3	48:16	33:22
deals 35:21 37:6	12:19 28:16	39:3,7,12,18	enclosures 15:16	exiting 8:22
Deanna 39:11	30:6 36:3	effective 18:5	engineer 9:11	expand 33:10
December 4:6	40:23	efficiency 27:24	ensure 49:12	expansion 33:19
4:21 39:13	difficult 15:21	either 12:11	enter 13:4,10	expect 35:15
decision 6:3	28:18 30:16,21	47:16	entered 9:19	41:4,5 46:13
decisions 37:17	46:9	electronic 49:5,8	10:4	expected 17:22
decree 11:12	diligence 21:14	Elementary	entering 9:22	expecting 15:23
12:16,18 13:9	directed 49:6	22:5,23	27:9	expedite 5:3
14:5 15:14,16	direction 51:12	emission 8:12,17	entire 30:20	expedited 6:16
16:24 18:5,8	discretion 10:23	11:4 14:17	entirely 22:7	49:13
18:10,14,19	discussion 37:20	16:3,5,6 20:5	entitled 1:6 3:4	experience
19:6 20:8 21:4	discussions	20:15,15 22:3	environmental	20:22
21:9,11,12,14	12:24	23:23 25:23	3:18,22 4:24	expire 18:12
21:16,22 22:2	dispersion 20:3	28:2,23 29:22	9:10 10:19	explain 28:6
22:17	23:7 24:16	30:1 31:11	EPA 7:19 8:1	31:12
definitely 33:16	Division 9:12	32:24 34:7,9	9:4 12:6,18,19	explained 27:18
35:7	docketed 3:7	36:16 41:1	13:1,1,6,15	explicitly 32:11
deliberations	doctor 3:13	42:12,22 47:13	19:19 21:13,17	extend 16:23
29:19	document 9:24	47:14,18	26:10 28:3	extension 16:22
deliberative	10:1 14:24	emissions 8:19	EPA's 8:2,7 9:13	17:2 18:11,12
13:11 19:1	15:20 29:23	8:24 9:1,3	9:16	18:16 19:11
Department	34:20 35:5	13:23 15:3,4	equipment 8:24	extremely 27:6,8
39:2	38:9 40:18	15:12,13,14,24	escaping 42:14	30:16 44:24
Depending 8:14	41:8	22:21 26:15	42:15	
19:9	documents 38:3	28:5,13,13,14	establish 8:6	<u> </u>
depends 28:15	38:7,11	28:17,19,22	estimate 15:1,12	facilities 8:9
deposeth 7:11	doing 30:22 40:2	29:2,7,14,20	15:18 28:9	12:9,10 25:2,4
derived 22:4	doorway 42:14	30:4,5,7,15	30:2,11,17	28:10 31:17
designated 7:22	doubt 20:19	31:10,13,18,23	42:13 43:14	33:13 35:10,14
8:10	Dr 3:12 39:11	32:10,17 33:22	45:6 46:7,8	facility 11:3
designations 8:4	drawn 46:21	34:1,13 40:20	estimates 30:18	13:3 19:23,24
details 13:15	drop 22:9	40:23 41:2,3,5	40:20 41:14	20:3 22:1,14
deterioration	dross 48:5	41:9,16,19,19	42:20,22 43:21	22:19 24:5
25:18	dry 16:3	42:2,17,19	45:16	27:6,12,13
determine 23:17	due 6:11 13:13	43:16,18 44:4	evaluated 12:19	33:9 35:2,17
determined 23:7	15:2 21:14	44:5 45:6,13	eventually 47:16	41:16 45:2
developing 6:2	22:17	45:23 46:23	exactly 11:22	facility-wide
12:5 13:9 21:9	duly 7:10	48:9,14	16:7 22:7	30:3
21:14	dust 9:4 30:5	employ 26:24	28:18 30:12	factor 30:1
development	32:8 33:2,3	enclosure 8:21	31:19 32:11	36:14
21:4	47:15	8:23 26:17	46:22	factors 28:16
device 8:18 31:1		28:22 29:9	example 35:23	42:12
32:14 35:24		30:11,22 31:11	exceedances	fair 36:11
36:1 37:6	E 2:1,1	31:14 32:1,2,4	22:11	fairly 15:1 27:15
46:22 47:17	earlier 22:8	32:19,20 33:4	exhaust 8:17	34:11 F ₂ 42:4
devices 20:23	27:22 34:16	43:5 45:21	exhibit 9:20,24	far 43:4
dictated 18:14	41:21 45:4	46:21 47:22	10:2	Fast 3:20 6:14

				Tage 33
18:22 48:21	28:19,22 29:7	grains 16.2	49:20	48:3
feasible 13:2	29:14,20,22	grains 16:3 Granite 34:19	help 6:9	includes 9:7
February 4:10	30:4,4,7,15	grant 9:23	helpful 44:15	includes 9.7
4:13	31:9,11,13,18	great 12:24	HEPA 20:6	23:6
feel 35:17	31:20,23 32:8	41:22	Hi 10:16	incorporated
fence 24:3	32:10,17 33:2	greater 28:5	higher 45:7,18	38:8
figure 36:11	40:20,23 41:2	29:4,6 44:17	highlights 34:24	incorporation
figures 34:20	41:9 42:2,21	guarantee 19:7	holding 4:8	37:23,24
35:11 36:19,21	44:5 45:6,13	guess 30:19	hoped 34:22	increase 33:22
36:24	45:23 47:3,14	48:11	hour 1:8	34:1
file 49:1	47:17	40.11	hours 15:7,9	indeterminable
filed 3:19 4:3	fugitives 15:19	H	28:11 41:6	44:13
	0	H 11:3 13:10		
50:7	15:22 16:8	14:15 16:11,14	hundred 14:14	indicate 14:13 indicated 4:19
filing 10:7 49:10	30:18,23 33:1	24:2 26:23	14:24 15:6	
49:23	33:1 40:12	27:7 29:13	28:11 41:5	20:11,12 34:23
filings 49:3,5,7	41:19 42:8,11	H-A-R-L-E-Y	T	information
final 16:10	43:13,14,24	10:17	idea 36:20	5:21 21:8,12
21:15 37:12,17	44:1 45:16	hand 5:11 10:12	ideas 26:19	35:18
finalized 23:11	46:17,19	handling 32:12	identification	inserting 38:5
finally 9:6 12:21	full 17:11 20:14	happen 27:16	10:3	inside 28:22
find 32:7	22:15,20	happened 15:8	III 1:3 3:6	installing 35:24
fine 6:12 31:3	furnaces 8:15	hard 31:19 46:6	Illinois 1:6,7,8	instance 34:3
40:7	30:9 32:22	hardest 30:19	3:2,18 4:4,6,24	38:9,17 45:24
first 4:3,8,20	further 23:6,11	Harley 10:16,17	7:19,22 8:1,5,7	instances 37:24
7:10 11:3	future 35:14	11:1,18 12:5	8:10 9:4,13,16	38:19
24:23 49:19	fuzzy 35:3	12:10 13:4,8	12:6,18 13:1	intended 6:1
five 48:6	G	13:18,20 14:6	19:19 21:17	7:19
floor 47:15	gas 8:22	14:9,12,20	26:10 28:3	intent 37:11
focused 25:7	general 14:22	16:9,13,17	50:3 51:1,14	interest 20:17
FOIA 19:18	30:20 31:16	17:6,9,15,21	51:22	20:18
follow 18:4,9	38:18	18:22 19:21	immediate 3:8	interrupt 40:1
29:11 33:5		21:3,24 22:13		introduced 5:14
following 48:23	generally 12:3 19:18 21:17	22:18 26:9,20	immediately 6:20	introducing 5:8
follows 7:11	32:20 33:19	29:11,18 31:4	Impact 39:4,7	inventory 29:15
foregoing 51:8	generation	40:4 41:11	39:12,18	involved 13:13
forth 8:16 20:24	36:22	Harley's 27:23	Implementation	13:15 18:15,16
forward 5:19	give 34:16 44:15	hearing 1:6 2:2	8:3	issue 13:10
38:24	Glosser 39:11	3:2,3,24 4:9,9	important 21:4	issues 38:24
found 3:21 12:7	go 5:9 21:22	4:12,15,18,20	important 21.4 imposed 16:10	items 46:16 47:3
38:19 50:2	31:8 37:19	5:3,13 6:7,9	impression	J
foundry 15:17	44:2 48:19	7:17 9:20,24	34:17	January 1:8
16:1 30:8	goes 15:15	10:1,21 23:2	in-depth 37:19	6:18 8:13 18:6
32:23	going 15:8 20:14	37:15 38:14	include 21:8,10	18:18 19:5
Fourth 9:1	26:18 35:10,15	39:20,21,24	32:21 38:10	49:15
frequent 20:10	36:4,10 45:12	44:10 49:8,14	41:13	Jennifer 2:4
fugitive 8:19 9:2	46:3	49:19,20,23	included 16:2	3:11
9:4 14:17	good 3:1 36:20	50:5,6,8,14	21:11 26:11	Jerome 3:15
15:14,24 16:6	governed 5:20	held 1:5 12:24	41:20 47:22	JERRY 2:3
26:15 28:13,17	Soverned 3.20	11CIU 1.J 12.27	71.20 77.22	VERNI 2.3
		l	l	l

				1 age 30
job 13:14	9:24 10:24	levels 20:24 24:3	45:24 46:24	3:16
jogged 41:11	11:24 12:24	25:23 26:4	47:24 48:24	members 6:6
Juggeu 41.11	13:24 14:24	light 13:20 40:3	49:24 50:24	10:10 37:3
K	15:24 16:24	likelihood 27:5	51:24	49:24
Keith 10:16	17:24 18:24	limit 8:17 9:1	located 8:10	memorialize
kettle 8:15	19:24 20:24	11:16 12:2	25:5 27:6 33:7	21:16
kettles 32:22	21:24 22:24	15:6,9 16:3	location 35:1	memory 41:11
34:4 42:8,9	23:24 24:24	limitations 1:2	long 16:18 17:7	mention 35:19
kind 25:20	25:24 26:24	3:5	look 23:1 44:3	mentioned
33:10 34:17	27:24 28:24	limited 46:24	45:9	24:13 27:23
36:15,15 37:5	29:24 30:24	limits 11:14	looked 12:22	28:3
46:17	31:24 32:24	12:15 15:6	14:23 24:1	mentioning 45:5
know 6:17 12:21	33:24 34:24	18:17 20:5	looking 14:23	merit 4:1
12:21,23,24	35:24 36:24	24:5,18,19,23	28:1 40:15	metal 8:9 27:6
13:12,22 15:7	37:24 38:24	25:13,18 26:14	lot 30:5 47:3	methods 38:8
15:22 16:17,20	39:24 40:24	28:11 34:5,7	Louis 23:22	Metro 27:12
17:6,11,13,17	41:24 42:24	36:16 41:6	low 45:11	microphone
17:18,22 18:8	43:24 44:24	line 24:3	lowest 13:23	6:11
18:10,11 19:5	45:24 46:24	lines 35:18		mind 20:19
22:24 23:20	47:24 48:24	list 49:9,13 50:1	M	40:16
25:21 27:3	49:24 50:24	listed 38:3,7,12	main 48:12	Mindful 18:22
28:21,23 30:6	51:24	42:1 47:9,22	maintain 25:10	minute 39:22
30:7 35:12,17	lack 39:19	48:3	Manager 9:15	misunderstan
36:2 42:8	LAER 14:3	little 40:3,22	mandated 16:15	41:20
46:22	26:23	Liu 2:2 3:17	22:2	model 20:3 24:8
knowing 20:14	language 37:8	33:5,14 34:16	manner 39:7	40:24 48:8
43:22	37:21 38:2,5,5	35:8 47:21	mark 9:23	modeled 11:11
knowledge	large 25:14	48:2	marked 10:1	24:22 25:20
16:22	32:22,24 41:15	LLC 1:24 2:24	material 19:14	27:18 41:2
Kramer 11:3,12	46:13	3:24 4:24 5:24	matter 1:1 50:6	42:3 46:19
13:10 14:15	larger 27:14	6:24 7:24 8:24	matters 50:11	47:18
16:11,14 24:2	45:7 46:19	9:24 10:24	Mayco 24:1	modeling 23:7
24:2 26:23	largest 44:20	11:24 12:24	28:20 32:21	23:10,11,15,16
27:7 28:20	lead 1:2 3:5,9	13:24 14:24	35:23 40:14	23:19 24:10,14
29:13 30:8	7:21,23 8:6,11	15:24 16:24	42:9,21 44:20	24:16 34:14
32:22 40:13,14	8:14,16 9:1,4	17:24 18:24	mean 14:18	40:20 47:12
Kramer's 36:2	11:23,23 14:15	19:24 20:24	31:23 32:1	moment 31:2
Kruse 1:6 2:2	20:1 23:23	21:24 22:24	43:24	Monday 6:18
3:1,3,14 6:24	25:8 28:4	23:24 24:24	meant 14:21	49:16
7:5,12 9:21	29:14 32:8	25:24 26:24	measures 7:21	monitor 22:10
10:4,10,24	36:12,17 43:16	27:24 28:24	9:2,7 11:8	35:2
31:5,8 37:1,21	43:18	29:24 30:24	26:10,24	monitoring 9:8
38:16,21 39:23	left 3:8,11	31:24 32:24	meet 17:5 19:24	22:4,5,22 37:6
40:7 41:22	legal 10:18	33:24 34:24	20:4,7,12,20	monitors 22:10
48:18 49:1	37:16	35:24 36:24	20:23 27:1	24:12
	letter 39:10	37:24 38:24	36:7	Monroe 51:21
L	level 20:6,13,15	39:24 40:24	meeting 8:24	morning 3:1
L.A 1:24 2:24	20:20 23:20	41:24 42:24	member 3:9,10	motion 9:23
1 2 2 4 4 2 4 5 2 4			1 2.10 11 15 15	
3:24 4:24 5:24	27:1	43:24 44:24	3:10,11,15,15	move 9:18 25:13
3:24 4:24 5:24 6:24 7:24 8:24	27:1	43:24 44:24	3.10,11,13,13	move 9:18 25:13

rage J/	Page	5	7
---------	------	---	---

				1 age 37
25:16,21	3:23 4:18	opening 5:7 7:14	31:1	27:10 31:7
moving 5:19	39:10	operate 8:20	particulate 8:24	34:9 35:6
36:1 38:24	number 12:19	22:15 25:22	passed 20:13	37:19 40:2,18
30.1 30.24	15:21 23:21	26:4	passed 20:15 paved 32:15	41:1 44:18
N	24:18 28:16	operated 22:19	people 31:6	45:9,12 46:20
N 2:1	30:6,21 32:9	26:17 48:10	percent 11:15	47:6,9,12,14
NAAQS 7:24	32:16 43:3	operating 9:3,4	15:6,23 28:1,5	47:15,18,19
8:11 23:9,18	45:8,17 46:10	11:13 16:14	28:11 29:4,5,7	points 24:4,20
24:8,11,19,22	numbers 28:6	17:1,4,7,10,11	30:10 41:5	Pollution 1:6 3:2
25:24 26:6	30:19 40:16	17:14 18:7,9	43:21 44:17	9:12
27:21 34:15	44:4 45:1,8	18:13,19,20	45:4,19,20	portion 28:2
36:8,17	numerical 8:12	22:1 25:13	percentage	pose 10:22
name 3:3 7:17	11:16 12:2	28:10,20 32:8	11:15 17:14	posed 5:24
10:13,15,16,17	26:14 34:5	operation 20:5	18:13 28:24	posing 11:2
National 7:23		36:2	41:15 47:5	possibility 25:12
nature 18:23	0	operational	Perez 22:4,22	25:15
30:15	O'Leary 2:3	16:20,21 17:20	period 17:9,15	possible 44:11
necessarily	3:15	18:2 33:3	21:24 22:14,18	possibly 22:12
30:24 36:4	O'Leary's 3:16	operations 36:1	permit 16:11	33:6
necessary 4:12	object 38:4	46:5	26:22	posted 6:19
8:6	objection 38:15	opinion 27:8	permits 17:19	pound 36:11
need 18:4 36:6	38:20	37:16 39:8	18:9,20,21	pounds 14:19,21
36:12 40:4	objections 9:21	Opportunity	permitted 17:18	15:1 29:2
50:11	obligations	39:3	permitting	42:20 44:20
needed 36:6,7	33:21	order 3:22 4:18	16:22 33:20	practices 31:17
36:16	obtain 8:7	5:3 8:7 17:2	person 6:9 49:19	32:9,16 46:13
negative 8:21	obviously 16:1	26:24 30:10	personal 51:11	pre-file 4:19
26:17 28:23	18:4	39:6,9	personnel 5:11	pre-filed 4:23
new 8:5 16:20	occur 32:13	Organization	5:14	9:19 10:7
17:1,3 22:20	occurred 19:8	10:20	persons 49:9	14:12
25:2,4,13,15	occurs 38:18	outside 24:5,20	perspective	precise 46:10
25:17,21 26:21	office 49:4,6,11	26:7 31:14,23	37:18	48:1
27:21 33:6,8	49:15	31:24 32:1,3	pertains 37:6	prejudgment
34:8,10,10,10	Officer 1:6 2:2	overall 45:1	Phone 51:22	6:4
35:24	3:3 4:18 7:17		picture 44:15	preliminary
non-attainment	9:20 10:21	P	Pilsen 10:19	23:6,10,16
7:22 8:4,11	37:15 38:14	P 2:1,1	place 4:10,12	24:10
25:5,14,19	39:21,24 49:9	page 4:7 23:5	31:24 32:18	prepared 7:13
27:7,14 33:7	official 51:13	40:17 42:1	places 38:1	present 3:7 6:6
33:23 34:18	Okay 6:24 7:5	paper 49:7	Plan 8:3	38:22 39:16
35:1 36:12,13	7:16 19:17	parking 30:5	Planning 9:11	50:4
nonferrous 8:9	21:2,20 24:24	part 1:3 3:6 18:3	9:16	pressure 8:21
27:5	26:8 31:4 32:5	18:24 29:18	plans 37:7,9	26:18 28:23
note 5:23 6:12	35:20 37:2	36:3,6 50:2	play 45:7,13	prevention
notes 51:11	38:13,16,21	participants	please 5:23 6:7	25:18
notice 4:4 6:10	42:6 43:15,20	4:19	7:6 10:11,14	previous 13:14
39:8	47:20 48:19	participating	10:24 39:22	13:21 15:3
noticed 35:11	once 24:16,17	50:13	49:10 50:10	16:8
November 3:20	online 49:4	particular 8:8	point 10:13 26:7	previously 45:17

	1	 	l	
privileged 5:22	protocol 29:15	11:24	reduction 15:2	REPORTERS
probability 26:2	provide 30:17	RACT/BACT	16:7 17:22	1:24 2:24 3:24
probably 27:4	35:4,13 49:22	12:22	28:2 29:7	4:24 5:24 6:24
30:2,3,10	provided 5:5	RACT/BACT	31:20 45:5,19	7:24 8:24 9:24
problem 27:19	34:20 38:8	12:7 19:22	45:20,24 46:4	10:24 11:24
27:20 30:14	provides 5:3	raising 10:11	46:14 47:1	12:24 13:24
problems 25:7	39:1	Randolph 1:7	reductions 9:2	14:24 15:24
procedural 5:21	providing 5:15	Rao 2:3 3:12,13	14:15,16,17	16:24 17:24
38:24 49:21	35:18 37:15	3:14 23:3,13	28:24 29:19,23	18:24 19:24
50:1,9	provision 3:21	24:13,24 25:4	43:22 44:16	20:24 21:24
procedures 4:5	16:23	26:1,8 27:11	45:8,12 47:8	22:24 23:24
5:19 6:21	proximity 26:22	27:22 29:3,10	reference 35:14	24:24 25:24
proceed 7:13	public 49:2	33:6 41:24	37:23,24 38:7	26:24 27:24
proceeding 3:4	purpose 5:12	42:6,19,24	38:9,11	28:24 29:24
5:20 6:16 21:6	32:12	43:2,7,11,15	referencing 38:2	30:24 31:24
21:8	purposes 38:15	43:20 44:1,6,9	referring 40:13	32:24 33:24
proceedings 1:5	pursuant 9:3	44:14,22 45:3	41:24	34:24 35:24
48:24 51:7,9	29:14 39:5	47:7,20 48:17	refinery 32:21	36:24 37:24
process 12:17	put 15:18	Rao's 29:12	reflect 6:3	38:24 39:24
13:5,7,8,11		rate 13:24 20:15	Reform 10:19	40:24 41:24
19:1	Q	read 10:5	regard 39:17	42:24 43:24
produce 6:9	quality 7:24	reading 5:5	regarding 37:21	44:24 45:24
23:23 24:2	9:11,16 23:7	real 35:11	38:6	46:24 47:24
production 8:9	quantify 15:21	really 16:6 18:3	Register 4:6	48:24 49:24
27:6	16:6 28:18	18:3 27:19	regulated 8:15	50:24 51:24
program 9:5	29:22 30:12	28:12,15 36:14	regulation 28:4	reporting 9:8
32:8	31:19 46:6	47:2	43:17	representative
proper 38:10	question 5:16	realm 27:10	regulations 8:5	36:5
property 24:5	10:11,14 11:3	reasonable 12:4	13:23 16:15	representing
24:20	16:9,13 22:8	35:16	21:5 26:11	10:18
proposal 3:19	23:4 26:20	Reasonably 7:20	regulatory	request 36:23
3:24 4:3,5 6:4	27:23 29:12	11:6,7,10,20	16:10	39:2,13,16,18
8:8,16 9:6	31:6 33:6	reasoning 27:12	relate 11:2	39:20 49:19,22
39:12	36:18 37:5	received 4:22	related 23:3	50:5,6
proposal's 4:1	38:18 40:11	34:22 39:15	release 29:15	requested 6:15
proposed 1:3	45:4 48:1	receiving 4:2	relevant 5:22	36:22 39:11
3:6 8:13 11:4	questions 4:16	receptor 24:21	relied 19:14	require 8:9 18:8
11:19 13:22	5:6,9,12,17,24	receptors 24:4	21:9,12,17	26:16 31:10
15:15 17:5	6:21 7:14 9:18	recognize 10:12	repeat 48:1	34:14 37:9
21:5,22 24:18	10:6,22 11:2	record 5:4,23	repetitious 5:22	required 4:1 8:1
25:1 26:5,11	29:13 37:2,3,4	6:2 9:20,22	report 1:5 29:13	12:2,3 19:3
26:16 28:4	38:22 40:3	10:5 21:5,7	29:24 30:2	20:4,7 29:13
37:8,22 39:4	41:23 48:18	48:20 49:23	41:10,12 43:19	37:7 41:12
41:3 43:9,17	49:5 50:8	recordkeeping	reported 15:4	43:19 46:5
46:11	quick 29:11	9:8	40:12,14 41:8	requirements
proposing 8:5		reduce 28:4	43:18 44:3,4	7:20 9:9 25:9
25:1,9	R	31:18 32:10,17	51:6	31:13,16
protection 3:19	R 2:1	reduced 15:19	reporter 6:6,17	requires 19:6
3:22 4:24 9:11	R14-19 1:2 3:7	30:9	7:6 51:6	requiring 15:16
2.222 . 7.11	RACT 11:10,23		,	
	l	I	I	

research 13:1	3:4,10,19,21	serving 49:24	26:21 28:6	12:6 16:3 20:1
respect 7:23	4:9 5:19 6:15	set 8:12 20:24	29:20 32:14	20:4 26:3
respond 23:1	7:19 18:4,6,17	46:2	33:8,18,22,24	standards 1:2
39:13	18:23 19:9,10	sets 8:16	35:23 36:14	3:4 7:24 11:5
responded 33:8	19:15 21:18	seven 49:18 50:7	42:17,18 47:13	11:19,21 12:6
responding 5:12	35:9 48:21	shape 40:24	48:8	12:13 13:9
response 5:15	49:2 50:9	42:15	sources 1:2 3:5	16:10 17:5
8:4 27:23	rules 5:21 31:10	shop 46:1,3,5	8:15,19,20 9:9	25:8
39:16,19 45:3	34:5 39:4,5	shorthand 51:5	11:5 14:2 23:8	start 23:14
responses 40:5	43:9 49:21	51:7	23:17,21,21	started 6:22
result 24:19	50:1	Shortly 49:16	24:1,11 25:6	state 1:7 8:3
26:6	running 28:16	showing 34:24	26:13,15 28:21	10:13,13,14
results 18:24	42:9	shown 22:10	29:8 30:6	23:5 32:11
22:3,21		signal 10:11	31:11,17 32:24	51:1
review 12:6 13:5	S	signature 51:13	33:1,6,13	statement 5:8
13:6 19:22	S 2:1	significant 8:19	35:22 40:18,19	7:14
25:17 33:8,9	S-I-P 8:3	16:7 25:18	40:19 42:2	station 22:5,22
33:10,16 34:13	safe 13:21	26:15 28:14,21	44:19 45:10,10	stenographic
37:9	saith 7:11	28:24 45:22,24	45:11,13 46:20	51:10
reviewed 40:2	Sally 7:17	46:4	47:2,6,9,21	Steven 1:7 51:5
revisions 8:2	satisfy 7:20	significantly	48:2,10,15	51:20
right 3:12,15,16	saw 45:8	30:9 33:21	south 15:17,24	stream 8:22
5:9 17:17	saying 29:3	similar 11:5	30:8 32:23	Street 1:7 51:21
24:15 33:16,17	38:14 45:16	12:11 14:2	speak 5:18 6:7	strict 11:14
43:1 45:15	48:13	SIP 8:3,8	SPEAKER	25:17
Rights 10:19	schedule 19:10	sir 10:14 31:3	14:18	Strike 14:20
roadway 33:3	scheduled 4:10	six-month 17:2	speaking 6:8	21:6
roadways 32:14	4:12	size 6:11 34:17	23:16	stringent 11:10
role 45:7,14	School 22:5,23	40:24 42:16	special 48:20	11:20 12:15
room 4:11,14	scientific 30:19	45:1	specific 35:22,23	26:1,3
6:11	scope 4:17	slipped 40:16	36:19 47:13	Study 39:4,7,12
Rory 4:23 7:9	second 4:9 8:18	small 27:7,8	specifically 5:2	39:19
9:10 20:12	39:8 49:20	45:1	12:22 37:22	subject 11:6
27:18	50:5,6	solely 6:1	46:2	25:17 26:23
roughly 14:16	section 3:21 4:1	solve 27:19	speculation 27:4	34:7
routed 16:1	4:14 5:2 9:12	somewhat 13:13	27:10	submit 8:2
routinely 20:23	9:16 13:14	15:21	speculative	submittal 8:8
rule 4:17 8:13	32:7 38:2,6,9	soon 6:18	27:17	Subsection
11:11 12:2,3	38:11,24 39:5	sooner 19:6	SS 51:2	38:16
12:14 15:5,15	39:9 49:18	20:18	St 23:22	subsections 37:7
17:5 19:3,5	sections 37:8	sorry 17:16 31:3	stack 14:16 15:2	substantive 37:5
20:1,24 21:22	seeing 9:23	47:24	15:4,8,12,13	sucked 47:16
24:18,23,23,24	15:11 16:5	sort 35:21	16:5 18:23	Suite 51:21
25:1,10 26:5	38:23 46:24	sorting 32:12	19:4,8,13,18	Support 14:24
26:16 27:5	47:4 50:12	sorts 13:15	19:22 22:2	15:20 29:23
35:21 36:22	seek 26:21	source 12:11	28:12,13 34:10	34:19 35:5
37:22 38:1	served 49:8	23:19,20,24	41:9 44:4	40:17 41:8
41:3 46:2,11	service 49:9,13	24:6,9,21	staff 6:1	sure 11:22 12:12
rulemaking 1:2	50:1	25:13,16,17,21	standard 8:12	14:1 16:19

	İ	Ī	I	Ī
18:12 22:7	tests 15:8 19:18	32:3,18,20	unit 3:12,16	West 1:7 51:21
27:20 29:1	thank 7:12,16	41:8,16,18,19	44:20,23	white 35:3
34:14 40:9	26:8 29:10	43:5 45:21	units 8:18 26:13	willing 35:13
44:12	31:3 35:8 40:1	46:20 47:22	28:14,15,19	window 42:15
surrounding	47:20 50:13	48:3,6,7,11,12	32:24 33:19	wish 5:11
27:7	Thanks 48:17	48:15	34:10,12 43:12	wishing 4:19
swear 7:6	thereabouts	tower 46:1,3,5	45:20,22	witness 10:23
swearing 5:8	15:24	toxic 29:14	upgrading	51:13
sworn 5:5,12,14	thing 15:13	Track 3:20 6:14	35:24	witnesses 4:16
7:1,3,11 37:14	things 25:20	18:23 48:21	use 19:18 34:5	7:1,10
	33:3,23 43:4	transaction	38:2	wondered 37:10
T	47:2	21:18		38:4
Table 40:16,17	think 6:12 11:15	transcribed	V	wondering 35:4
41:24 42:21	15:18 18:14	51:11	ventilated 32:13	45:11
45:9 48:11	20:16 23:5	transcribing 6:7	version 21:15	work 31:17 32:9
tables 41:17	26:18 27:2,3,8	transcript 6:9	49:12	32:16 46:13
take 4:10,12	27:9 29:22	6:16 49:14,16	versus 15:5,12	worked 35:9
31:24	30:4,12 32:7	51:9	28:13	wouldn't 11:24
taken 1:7 14:4	34:21 35:20,22	TRI 30:17,20	view 37:16	40:10 46:13
48:22 51:11	40:4,7 46:15	41:13	violate 34:14	writing 23:1
talk 37:11 38:23	47:6	trial 51:7,10	violation 23:18	40:10 49:23
48:20	thinking 47:7	tried 34:16	25:23 26:6	written 49:2
talking 6:13	third 4:11 8:22	trigger 33:17,18	27:21	
44:16,19,24	thought 35:19	33:24 34:13	violations 23:9	X
technical 3:12	41:17 47:8	41:22	24:8,12,12,22	Y
3:16 14:24	time 6:8 9:18	triggering 34:9	volume 29:1	
15:20 29:23	10:9 13:13	true 22:13 51:8	40:19,19 42:1	yeah 13:19
34:19 35:5	17:16,21 18:5	try 16:6	42:17 44:19	31:20 32:12
40:17 41:8	19:8 22:1,14	trying 23:17	45:10,10,24	44:14 vear 14:14 18:6
technologies	22:19 35:6	TSD 33:9,16,17	47:1,21 48:2,8	28:12 41:6
12:20 14:10	40:8 42:10	33:18,24 34:10	48:10,15	42:20 44:20
Technology 11:7	47:19 50:12	34:13 40:3,15	\mathbf{W}	year's 15:4
11:11,21	timely 4:22 39:8	TU 3:12 37:3	want 38:23 40:1	year \$15.4 years 22:12
telephone 50:10	timing 40:6	two 7:21 22:12	44:24 48:1	years 22.12
term 46:18	Title 50:2	23:5 24:1 25:6	wasn't 18:16	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
terms 19:14	today 3:8 4:8 5:1	34:20 35:5,21	36:14	Zalewski 2:4 3:9
test 18:23	5:24 6:10 9:10	37:4	way 46:18	Zalewski's 3:10
tested 15:4	38:22 39:17	type 8:14	ways 21:23	zone 25:19
testify 39:17	50:4,13	U	we'll 6:12 7:13	
testimony 4:15	today's 5:13 6:7		we're 15:23 22:6	0
4:20,23 5:1,4	6:21 49:14	uncontrolled	31:3 44:24	0.0001 16:2
5:15,17 9:19	50:8	42:21 43:4	47:1	0.3879 44:21
9:22 10:8	ton 36:10	understand	we've 24:17 40:2	084-004675
14:13 23:4	tons 14:14,19,19	38:13	website 49:11,17	51:23
28:2	total 8:20,23	understanding	Wednesday 4:10	
testing 9:7 16:24	15:16 26:17	5:10 20:22	4:13	1
17:3 18:1,2	28:22 29:8	22:17	weight 29:1	19:24 10:2
19:4,6,8,12,13	30:11,22 31:10	UNIDENTIFI	welcome 3:1	40:16 41:24
19:23	31:14,24 32:2	14:18	went 22:7	42:21 45:9
	<u> </u>			

			Page 61
100 1 7	15 24 16 24	l ———_	
100 1:7	15:24 16:24	5	
102 50:2	17:24 18:24	50 28:5 29:4,5,7	
11:16 1:8	19:24 20:24	44:17 45:19	
125 38:9	21:24 22:24	5th 4:10	
13th 6:19 49:15	23:24 24:24		
14 4:2	25:24 26:24	6	
15th 3:20	27:24 28:24	60603 51:22	
16 40:17 42:1	29:24 30:24	6th 4:6	
17 40:17	31:24 32:24	7	
170 32:7	33:24 34:24		
175(g) 38:17	35:24 36:24	8	
17th 39:14	37:24 38:24	8 51:21	
19,490 4:7	39:24 40:24	814-3620 49:6	
19th 4:13	41:24 42:24	814-3665 50:10	
1st 8:13 18:6,18	43:24 44:24	8th 1:8	
19:5	45:24 46:24	oth 1.0	
	47:24 48:24	9	
2 40.17 49.11	49:6,24 50:10		
2 40:17 48:11	50:24 51:22,24		
2007 51:21	35 1:3 3:6 50:2		
2008 7:23 8:11	365 28:11 41:6		
2013 3:20,23 4:6			
4:18,21 39:10	4		
39:14	419-9292 1:24		
2014 1:8 4:11,13	2:24 3:24 4:24		
51:15	5:24 6:24 7:24		
2015 8:13 18:18	8:24 9:24		
19:5	10:24 11:24		
21 3:23	12:24 13:24		
21st 4:18 39:10	14:24 15:24		
226 1:3 3:6	16:24 17:24		
24 15:6,9 28:11	18:24 19:24		
41:6	20:24 21:24		
27(b) 39:1	22:24 23:24		
28.5 3:21	24:24 25:24		
28.5(e) 4:1	26:24 27:24		
28.5(f) 5:2	28:24 29:24		
28.5(f)1 49:18	30:24 31:24		
28.5(g) 39:6	32:24 33:24		
28.5(g)1 4:14	34:24 35:24		
28.5(n) 39:9	36:24 37:24		
29th 4:21	38:24 39:24		
3	40:24 41:24		
-	42:24 43:24		
312 1:24 2:24	44:24 45:24		
3:24 4:24 5:24	46:24 47:24		
6:24 7:24 8:24	48:24 49:24		
9:24 10:24	50:24 51:22,24		
11:24 12:24	42 30:1 42:12		
13:24 14:24			
	-	-	